

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,116	03/31/2004	Andreas Kirchner	OST-041134	6567
22876	7590 12/05/2007	,	EXAMINER MATHEWS, ALAN A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2851	
FACTOR & L 1327 W. WAS	SHINGTON BLVD.			
SUITE 5G/H CHICAGO, II	. 60607			
Cinerido, ir	2 00007			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A :			TH
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/815,116	KIRCHNER ET A	\L.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alan A. Mathews	2851	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Alan A. Mathews.	(3)		
(2) <u>Mike Lake</u> .	(4)		•
Date of Interview: <u>11-30-07</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> </mark>	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u> No.</u>		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3 and 4</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Werf et al. and Fujisaw	∕a et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. of	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE STANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE ASSETT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE ASSETT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
•			,

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments that the combination of Werf et al. and Fujisawa et al. was improper including additional or more clarifying arguments than were previously made. In addition, the Examiner pointed out that at least in claims 3 and 4 "the tilt axis" was ambiguous, since two "tilt axis" were previously recited. Applicant is going to file an amendment to the claims clarifying claims 3 and 4 (possibly by including the phrase "about which the reticle is tilted" after "the tilt axis" in claims 3 and 4. In addition, Applicant will formally include the additional argument made during the telephone interview as to why one would not combine Werf et al. and Fujisawa et al. in rejecting claim 1. The Examiner needs further search and consideration at this time before making a determinating of patentability.